

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/022,438	Applicant(s) STOLTZ, ALLISON	
	Examiner Dave Robertson	Art Unit 2121	

All Participants:

- (1) Dave Robertson.
(2) Atty James Minor (Reg. No. 40444).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____.
(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e) and 103(a) over Barton (2002/0059093)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Barton et al.; Buddle et al. (US 6,912,502) to common assignee; Bechhofer et al. (US 7,305,351), Borgia et al. (US 7,433,829); Franceshini, Stamatis (cited and attached with office action herewith).
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to identify potentially allowable subject matter in view of claims presently amended, remarks filed in response to the non-final office action following revival of the Application, and subject matter disclosed but not specifically claimed. No agreement was reached on allowable subject matter, however, further search and consideration conducted in preparation for interview including an expanded search in the patent and non-patent literature on disclosed subject matter yielded prior art mutually agreed as best reviewed by Applicant in preparation response to the final office action included herewith.